

## **Information Governance**

The Green
1 Roger Dowley Court
Russia Lane
London
E2 9NJ

Email elft.foi@nhs.net

Website: https://www.elft.nhs.uk

10 June 2021

Our reference: FOI DA3810

I am responding to your request for information received 17 May 2021. This has been treated as a request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

When an organisation receives a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act, it is allowed under the Act to apply a blanket exemption to this where it has concluded that providing a response would take in excess of eighteen hours. When the cost of compliance and extracting information would exceed eighteen hours, a cost limit of £450 can be applied. This is explained in Section 12 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and is based on a rate of £25 per hour, regardless of the rate of pay of any individual involved in the retrieval of requested information, and equates to eighteen hours work.

Having reviewed your request, the Trust has noted that it would not be able to all the information requested within the eighteen hours specified in the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

In order to assist you with your request, I have advised below the timing each question would take in order to assist you to refine your request. If you wish to refine your request to comply with the 18 hour time limit, please do get in touch

I am now enclosing a response which is attached to the end of this letter. Please do not hesitate to contact me on the contact details above if you have any further queries.

Yours sincerely,

KHarvey

Keshia Harvey

Information Governance Manager

If you are dissatisfied with the Trust's response to your FOIA request then you should contact us and we will arrange for an internal review of this decision.

If you remain dissatisfied with the decision following our response to your complaint, you may write to the Information Commissioner for a decision under Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

Chair: Mark Lam Chief Executive: Paul Calaminus

We care We respect

We are inclusive

Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF

Tel: 0303 123 1113 Web: <u>www.ico.org.uk</u>

Please note that the data supplied is not allowed to be re-used and/or published without the explicit consent of East London NHS Foundation Trust. Please contact the signatory to request permission if this is your intention

Chair: Mark Lam

We care

We respect

We respect We are inclusive

Chief Executive: Paul Calaminus

## Request:

I'd like to make a request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 about Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) programme(s) delivered by East London NHS Foundation Trust. I am part of a group of researchers carrying out an evaluation of NHS IAPT services across England. As part of this project, we are submitting FOI requests to a number of NHS Mental Health Trusts to ask about local policy and practice. In 2019 and 2020 (please provide data for each year):

Question 1. How many referrals did the IAPT service receive?

Answer: This question would take under one hour to collate.

Question 2. How many people entered treatment with the IAPT service?

Answer: This question would take under one hour to collate.

Question 3. How many people were judged not suitable for treatment by the IAPT service with the following outcomes (as a number and % of total referrals): a. No action taken or directed back to referrer b. Signposted elsewhere c. Not assessed d. Referred to another therapy service

Answer: This guestion would take under two hours to collate.

Question 4. How many referrals did your service receive where self-harm was present?

a. If possible, please answer with reference to the sub-questions below:

i. How many people were assessed as presenting with intentional self-harm e.g. those whose problem descriptor(s) included 'intentional self-harm' (code X60-X84)?

ii. How many people were assessed as presenting with 'non-accidental self-injury or repeated self-harm' rated 2-4 when determining their mental health care cluster?

Answer:

The Trust has reviewed your request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. In order to collate this information, staff would need to manually review every referral individually and count cases where self-harm is mentioned.

Therefore, the Trust is unable to comply with this particular part of your request as the cost of compliance and extracting your exact requirements would exceed the appropriate cost limit of £450 which is specified in Section 12 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. This is based on a rate of £25 per hour, regardless of the rate of pay of any individual involved in the retrieval of requested information, and equates to 18 hours work.

Question 5. How many referrals were judged not suitable for treatment by the IAPT service where self-harm was present?

a. If possible, please answer with reference to the sub-questions below:

i. How many referrals were rejected that were assessed as presenting with intentional self-harm e.g. those whose problem descriptor(s) included 'intentional self-harm' (code X60-X84)?

Chair: Mark Lam Chief Executive: Paul Calaminus

We care We respect We are inclusive

ii. How many referrals were rejected that were assessed as presenting with 'non-accidental self-injury or repeated self-harm' rated 2-4 when determining their mental health care cluster?

Answer:

The Trust has reviewed your request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. In order to collate this information, staff would need to manually review every referral individually and count cases where self-harm is mentioned.

Therefore, the Trust is unable to comply with this particular part of your request as the cost of compliance and extracting your exact requirements would exceed the appropriate cost limit of £450 which is specified in Section 12 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. This is based on a rate of £25 per hour, regardless of the rate of pay of any individual involved in the retrieval of requested information, and equates to 18 hours work.

Question 6. How many people who currently self-harm were offered treatment with your service?

Answer:

The Trust has reviewed your request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. In order to collate this information, staff would need to manually review every referral individually and count cases where self-harm is mentioned.

Therefore, the Trust is unable to comply with this particular part of your request as the cost of compliance and extracting your exact requirements would exceed the appropriate cost limit of £450 which is specified in Section 12 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. This is based on a rate of £25 per hour, regardless of the rate of pay of any individual involved in the retrieval of requested information, and equates to 18 hours work.

Questions 7/8 refer to the IAPT service's operational procedures affecting people who self-harm.

Question 7. Does the IAPT service offer treatment for people who self-harm?

Answer: This question would take under one hour to collate.

Question 8. On what basis are judgments around treatment for people who self-harm made?

a. Is self-harm included as part of the IAPT service's operational policy (for example, in exclusion/ inclusion criteria or equivalent thresholds)?

i. If possible, please attach your IAPT operational policy or other internal documents that are used to determine exclusion and/or inclusion in treatment from the IAPT service.

b. If possible, please attach any other relevant documents which support judgements for treatment related to self-harm.

c. If possible, please attached the service's risk assessment questions or outline.

Answer: This question would take under one hour to collate.

Chair: Mark Lam Chief Executive: Paul Calaminus

We care We respect We are inclusive